


## Analysis of Students Feedback, Autumn 2024, Dept. of Computer Sc. & Engineering.

All feedback responses are evaluated as: Excellent (for numeric score 5), Very Good (for numeric score 4), Good (for numeric score 3), Average/ Fair (for numeric score 2) and Below average/Poor (for numeric score 1)

### A. Details of learner

| Questions asked:  | Numeric score on response |       |       |       |          |
|---|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
|   | 5                         | 4     | 3     | 2     | 1        |
|   | Response options          |       |       |       |          |
| Percentage of classes attended  | 85-100                    | 70-84 | 55-69 | 30-54 | Below 30 |
| Approximate number of hours per week spent on the course (other than lecture hours) | 8-10 h                    | 6-8 h | 4-6 h | 2-4 h | 0-2 h    |
| SGPA in last semester   | 8 and above               | 7-8   | 6-7   | 5-6   | Below 5  |


### Responses



## B. Course and Course Content

| Responses/comments sought on:                             |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | Responses                                   |  |
| 1. Readiness for the course                               | “Have exposure to the background material.” | “Have no exposure to the background material.” |
| 2. Whether provided with details lesson plan              | <b>Yes</b>                                  | <b>No</b>                                      |
| 3. Organisation of the course in the lesson plan          | Numeric scores against responses            |  |
| 4. Coverage of topics in a logical sequence               |   |  |
| 5. Emphasis on fundamentals                               | Excellent                                   | Very Good                                      |
| 6. Sessions on problem solving                            | 5   | 4  |
| 7. Availability of text books/ study materials            | 3   | 2  |
| 8. perception about the standard of tests and assignments |   | 1  |
| 9. Overall rating of the course                           |   |  |


## Responses



### C. Course Instructor

| Responses/comment sought about  | Numeric scores |                |           |              |                    |
|---|----------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------|
| 1. Pace of teaching<br>2. Clarity of expression<br>3. Accessibility outside the class<br>4. Maintains regularity and punctuality in the class as per time-table<br>5. Questions and discussions are encouraged<br>6. Fair and un-biased in evaluation process<br>7. Encourages to think creatively and search for additional materials<br>8. Scope for independent thinking<br>9. Overall effectiveness of the Instructor / teacher | Excellent<br>5 | Very Good<br>4 | Good<br>3 | Average<br>2 | Below Average<br>1 |

### Responses



\*\*\*\*End\*\*\*\*

